

**Southern Willamette Forest Collaborative
Implementation Advisory Committee**

Monday, May 7th, 10:00 – 12:00PM, Middle Fork Ranger District

“Coming together for healthy forests and communities”

Participants Trisha M; Fergus M; Kevin G; Loren H, Russ V; Susan O; Rob M; Tucker; Mike; Tim J; Molly J; Katie M; Sarah A-P

Agenda Item #1 – BURNT IRTC

Burnt proposed embedded projects:

1. Noxious weed pretreatment
 - a. Burnt & buckhead – pretreatment as a start
 - b. Buckhead will be flexible; timber sale sites will be treated right before logging
 - c. Some spraying and some manual
 - d. Sulfur cinquefoil
 - i. False brome
 - ii. Scotch & blackberry
 - e. Follow up w/ retained receipts – can use some project dollars
2. Biomass removal – renaming this project to topwood centralization – topwood will be moved to central location – i.e. old helicopter landing – This will make it easy for the Forest Service to turn around and sell it as commercial firewood sale
3. Burt area road storage
4. Hardesty road decommissioning and Hardesty road storage – the Forest Service is currently doing more detailed analysis
 - a. Road failure on 5833 to will not go beyond it
5. Snag creation – (base girdling)
 - a. It will cost less to include as a service item than to use KV funds cost
 - b. Timeline – needs to be done in spring when sap running and bark slipping
 - c. No marking necessary – can ID per acre and size class
6. Fireline – 5.54 mi plus
 - a. This is to prep for underburning afterwards

Local Workforce Area – same as the map the committee proposed

Burnt IRTC timeline – Aiming to advertise May 25; prebid solicitation meeting June 4 or June 5, July 10th technical proposals due

- Implementation timeline: 3-5 years
- Road work – next two summers; Dec. 31 2022

Additional contract items:

- Essential reforestation – early seral units (will need another funding mechanism; BD usually 70/30 (KV) but whole BD plan will be in the contract) piling excess slash, underburning,

New policy NO KV dollars can be taken out of retained receipts

Contract price? Value of the sale is value of stumpage AND the value of work being done
Technical evaluation – rated on a scale of: more important to, equal to, less important

- Tech evaluation criteria is equal to price
- Technical evaluation criteria
 1. technical approach
 2. capability and relevant past performance
 3. utilization of local workforce (this can include employees from local area)

Agenda Item #2 – Good Neighbor Authority and Willamette Forest projects

** ask Katie for power point

The GNA program is all about finding efficiencies and leveraging resources

All lands – all hands approach: cross boundary projects, large scale restoration; focus on restoration and fire mitigation

GNA is Oregon State implemented with federal dollars, to perform work on federal lands

- timber sales via Timber supplemental project agreement (Timber SPA)
- service work via Service supplemental project agreement (Service SPA)